

Evaluation Report Study Work

Last Name, First Name:					
Study Program:					
Title of Paper:					
	L				
Evaluator:					
Scientific Content For the justification of the points	awarded, see the following assessed	Max.	Points		oints luator
criteria and the additional comm		· ·	15 5%)		
Criteria			Evalu	uation*	
		very good	mostly good	with weak nesses	with major weak nesses or non- existent
Explanation of the problem ba	ackground				
Formulation of the research of	uestion				
Explanation of the proceeding	in the Study Work				
l '	g within the individual chapters				
Discussion of scientific theor					
Summaries of the insights ga	_				
Discussion of research method	ods (if part of the Study Work)				
Quality of sources used					
Quantity of sources used					
Additional comments:					



Scientific Form For the justification of the points awarded, see the following assessed	Max. Points	Points Evaluator
criteria and (if applicable) the additional comments of the evaluator	15 (25%)	

Criteria		Eval	uation*	
	very good	mostly good	with weak-nesses	with major weak-nesses or non-exist- ent**
Form				
The required number of pages is achieved				
Use of the template (cover pages, declarations, table of contents)				
Chapter numbering				
Wording of chapter headings				
Used space for figures and tables				
Avoidance of large blank spaces				
Figures and Tables				
Numbering of figures and tables				
Use of figure and table captions				
Announcement to figures and tables in the previous text				
Language, Spelling and Punctuation				
Grammar				
Spelling				
Punctuation				
Structure of sentences				
Comprehensibility of language				
Academic language				
Citation				
Citing sources for quotations, figures, and tables				
The formal structure of citations				
Directories				
Lists of figures, tables and abbreviations (if applicable)				
References				
List of AI tools used (if applicable)				

Additional comments (if applicable):



Conclusion of the evaluator:		

Total Points	Max. Points	Points Evaluator
	60 (100%)	
Grade		

Date: ...

Evaluator: ...

Points*	60	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43
Grade	1,0	1,1	1,2	1,3	1,4	1,5	1,6	1,7	1,8	1,9	2,0	2,1	2,2	2,3	2,4	2,5	2,6	2,7

42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29 Points or less
2,8	2,9	3,0	3,1	3,2	3,3	3,4	3,5	3,6	3,7	3,8	3,9	4,0	not passed

^{*} The evaluation of the criteria (with the exception of the fields marked in grey) does not lead to a mathematically determined awarding of points. Rather, it is up to the evaluators to award their points on the basis of the overall impression. The differentiation of the criteria and their evaluation should also help students to identify opportunities for improvement.

^{**} Formal evaluations marked in gray lead to the paper not being passed regardless of the evaluation of the scientific content.