

## **Evaluation Report Project Study Work**

	<del></del>												
Last Name, First Name:													
<u> </u>	<del></del>												
Study Program:													
Title of Paper:													
Γ	<del></del>												
Evaluator:													
Scientific Content	to swarded age th	s fallowing as		T	Max. Points Points Evaluator								
For the justification of the point criteria and (if applicable) the ad					45								
						(75	5%)						
		Т		<del></del>	ı	1	ı	<u> </u>	1	<del></del>	<del></del>		
Criteria		Points	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Explanation of the problem's	background												
Formulation of the research of	question(s)												
Discussion of possible scient	tific theories												
Discussion of possible resea	rch methods												
Quality of sources													
Quantity of sources													
Additional comments (if app	plicable):												



Scientific Form For the justification of the points awarded, see the following assessed	Max. Points	Points Evaluator
criteria and (if applicable) the additional comments of the evaluator	<b>15</b> (25%)	<b></b>

Criteria	Evaluation*						
	very good	mostly good	with weak-nesses	with major weak-nesses or non-exist- ent**			
Form							
The required number of pages is achieved							
Use of the template (cover pages, declarations, table of contents)							
Chapter numbering							
Wording of chapter headings							
Used space for figures and tables							
Avoidance of large blank spaces							
Figures and Tables							
Numbering of figures and tables							
Use of figure and table captions							
Announcement to figures and tables in the previous text							
Language, Spelling and Punctuation							
Grammar							
Spelling							
Punctuation							
Structure of sentences							
Comprehensibility of language							
Academic language							
Citation							
Citing sources for quotations, figures, and tables							
The formal structure of citations							
Directories							
Lists of figures, tables and abbreviations (if applicable)							
References							
List of Al tools used (if applicable)							

Additional comments (if applic	able):		



Conclusion of the evaluator:	

Total Points	Max. Points	Points Evaluator
	<b>60</b> (100%)	
Grade		

Date: ...

Evaluator: ...

Points*	60	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43
Grade	1,0	1,1	1,2	1,3	1,4	1,5	1,6	1,7	1,8	1,9	2,0	2,1	2,2	2,3	2,4	2,5	2,6	2,7

42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29 Points or less
2,8	2,9	3,0	3,1	3,2	3,3	3,4	3,5	3,6	3,7	3,8	3,9	4,0	not passed

<sup>\*</sup> The evaluation of the criteria (with the exception of the fields marked in grey) does not lead to a mathematically determined awarding of points. Rather, it is up to the evaluators to award their points on the basis of the overall impression. The differentiation of the criteria and their evaluation should also help students to identify opportunities for improvement.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Formal evaluations marked in gray lead to the paper not being passed regardless of the evaluation of the scientific content.